## Grade 8 Mini-Assessment - Screen Time Trio

Researchers have different views on the impact technology has on children. Today you will read two articles, "In our digital world, are young people losing their ability to read emotions?" by Stuart Wolpert, and "Study: Kids can learn as much from 'Sesame Street' as from preschool" by Jim Tankersley, and then watch a video titled, "This is How Cookie Monster Makes Your Kid Smarter." You will then answer several questions based on the texts and video. I will be happy to answer questions about the directions, but I will not help you with the answers to any questions. You will notice as you answer the questions that some of the questions have two parts. You should answer Part A of the question before you answer Part B, but you may return to Part A if you wish.

Take as long as you need to read and answer the questions. If you do not finish when class ends, come see me to discuss when you may have additional time.

Now read the passage and answer the questions. I encourage you to write notes in the margin as you read the passage.

## Text 1: In our digital world, are young people losing their ability to read emotions? By Stuart Wolpert

- 1 Children's social skills may be declining as they have less time for face-to-face interaction due to their increased use of social media, according to a UCLA psychology study.
- 2 UCLA scientists found that sixth-graders who went five days without even glancing at a smartphone, television or other digital screen did substantially better at reading human emotions than sixth-graders from the same school who continued to spend hours each day looking at their electronic devices.



- "Many people are looking at the benefits of digital media in education, and not many are looking at the costs," said Patricia Greenfield, a distinguished professor of psychology in the UCLA College and senior author of the study. "Decreased sensitivity to emotional cues losing the ability to understand the emotions of other people is one of the costs. The displacement of in-person social interaction by screen interaction seems to be reducing social skills."
- The research will be in the October print edition of Computers in Human Behavior and is already published online.
- The psychologists studied two sets of sixth-graders from a Southern California public school: 51 who lived together for five days at the Pali Institute, a nature and science camp about 70 miles east of Los Angeles, and 54 others from the same school. (The group of 54 would attend the camp later, after the study was conducted.)
- The camp doesn't allow students to use electronic devices a policy that many students found to be challenging for the first couple of days. Most adapted quickly, however, according to camp counselors.

- At the beginning and end of the study, both groups of students were evaluated for their ability to recognize other people's emotions in photos and videos. The students were shown 48 pictures of faces that were happy, sad, angry or scared, and asked to identify their feelings.
- They also watched videos of actors interacting with one another and were instructed to describe the characters' emotions. In one scene, students take a test and submit it to their teacher; one of the students is confident and excited, the other is anxious. In another scene, one student is saddened after being excluded from a conversation.
- The children who had been at the camp improved significantly over the five days in their ability to read facial emotions and other nonverbal cues to emotion, compared with the students who continued to use their media devices.
- Researchers tracked how many errors the students made when attempting to identify the emotions in the photos and videos. When analyzing the photos, for example, those at the camp made an average of 9.41 errors at the end of the study, down from 14.02 at the beginning. The students who didn't attend the camp recorded a significantly smaller change. For the videos, the students who went to camp improved significantly, while the scores of the students who did not attend camp showed no change. The findings applied equally to both boys and girls.
- "You can't learn nonverbal emotional cues from a screen in the way you can learn it from face-to-face communication," said lead author Yalda Uhls, a senior researcher with the UCLA's Children's Digital Media Center, Los Angeles. "If you're not practicing face-to-face communication, you could be losing important social skills."
- Students participating in the study reported that they text, watch television and play video games for an average of four-and-a-half hours on a typical school day. Some surveys have found that the figure is even higher nationally, said Uhls, who also is the Southern California regional director of Common Sense Media, a national nonprofit organization.
- Greenfield, director of the CDMC, considers the results significant, given that they occurred after only five days.
- She said the implications of the research are that people need more face-to-face interaction, and that even when people use digital media for social interaction, they're spending less time developing social skills and learning to read nonverbal cues.
- "We've shown a model of what more face-to-face interaction can do," Greenfield said. "Social interaction is needed to develop skills in understanding the emotions of other people."
- 16 Uhls said that emoticons are a poor substitute for face-to-face communication: "We are social creatures. We need device-free time."

http://newsroom.ucla.edu/releases/in-our-digital-world-are-young-people-losing-the-ability-to-read-emotions

## Text 2: Study: Kids can learn as much from 'Sesame Street' as from preschool

## By Jim Tankersley

- NEW YORK Most Americans born since the mid-1960s have a favorite "Sesame Street" skit. Jennifer Kotler Clarke watched hers on a black-and-white television set in her family's Bronx apartment. There were two aliens: One of them had long arms that didn't move, while the other had short, moving arms. The aliens wished to eat apples from a tree, and they succeeded, after a couple of minutes, by working together. "Let's call this cooperation," one of them says. "No," the other replies, "let's call it Shirley."
- Clarke grew up to be the show's vice president for research and evaluation, and she has long believed that the program's laughs and lessons stick with children. Now, landmark academic research appears to back her up.
- The most authoritative study ever done on the impact of "Sesame Street," to be released Monday, finds that the famous show on public TV has delivered lasting educational benefits to millions of American children benefits as powerful as the ones children get from going to preschool.
- The paper from the University of Maryland's Melissa Kearney and Wellesley College's Phillip Levine finds that the show has left children more likely to stay at the appropriate grade level for their age, an effect that is particularly pronounced among boys, African Americans and children who grow up in disadvantaged areas.
- After "Sesame Street" was introduced, children living in places where its broadcast could be more readily received saw a 14 percent drop in their likelihood of being behind in school. Levine and Kearney note in their paper that a wide body of previous research has found that Head Start, the pre-kindergarten program for low-income Americans, delivers a similar benefit.
- The researchers also say those effects probably come from "Sesame Street's" focus on presenting viewers with an academic curriculum, heavy on reading and math, that would appear to have helped prepare children for school.
- While it might seem implausible that a TV show could have such effects, the results build on Nixon-era<sup>1</sup> government studies that found big short-term benefits in watching the show, along with years of focus-group studies by the team of academic researchers who help write "Sesame Street" scripts. Several outside researchers have reviewed the study, and none are known to have questioned its results.
- The new findings offer comforting news for parents who put their children in front of public TV every day and/or memorized entire Elmo DVDs, unwittingly.
- They also raise a provocative question, at a time when many lawmakers are pushing to expand spending on early childhood education: Do kids need preschool if a TV show works just as well?
- Yes, say the economists and the "Sesame Street" educational team. Head Start, Kearney and Levine write, was designed to provide more than an academic boost: It delivers family support,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Richard Nixon was president of the United States from January 20, 1969-August 9, 1974.

medical and dental services, and development of emotional skills that help kids in social settings.

- Levine and Kearney see the study as a clear lesson in the value of a (very cheap) mass-media complement to preschool. The potentially controversial implication they embrace from the study isn't about early-childhood education. It's about college, and the trend toward low-cost massive open online courses, or MOOCs.
- "Sesame Street," Levine and Kearney write, was the original MOOC. "If we can do this with 'Sesame Street' on television, we can potentially do this with all sorts of electronic communications," Kearney said in an interview. "It's encouraging because it means we might be able to make real progress in ways that are affordable and scalable."
- The research can't say whether the show continues to deliver such high benefits to children, said Diane Whitmore Schanzenbach, an economist at Northwestern University's School of Education and Social Policy, who has read drafts of the paper and given feedback to the authors.
- But, she said, it clearly shows "the importance of childhood education, which is really having its moment right now."
- This study was brought to you, so to speak, by the letters U, H, and F.
- "Sesame Street" debuted in 1969 with a diverse cast of humans and brightly colored fuzzy Muppets, including Oscar the Grouch, Bert and Ernie, and, of course, Big Bird. It was the country's first explicitly educational children's program, and it was an immediate hit: In the early 1970s, one-third of all American toddlers watched it.
- 17 That's a Super Bowl-level audience share. But it's even more striking because another third of the nation's toddlers couldn't have watched the show if they wanted to they didn't have the right antenna to tune in to their local public television station.
- This was well before the popularization of cable. TV broadcasts arrived over the air, on two different kinds of signals. The higher-quality signal was known as VHF, or Channels 1 to 13 on a standard TV set. The lower-quality signal was called UHF, and many households at that time were unable to tune it in. By a quirk of federal licensing, the public broadcasting channels in many major cities, including New York and Boston, aired on VHF channels, while others, including Los Angeles and Washington, aired on UHF.
- As a result, about two-thirds of the nation's households were able to watch "Sesame Street."
  The other third weren't.
- 20 Levine read about that divide in early 2014. He realized it was the sort of rare natural experiment that economists live for two groups of people, divvied up by fate and the Federal Communications Commission, who could be compared over time to see whether there was a difference in their educational outcomes.

From The Washington Post, June 7, 2015 © 2015 Washington Post Company. All rights reserved. Used by permission and protected by the Copyright Laws of the United States. The printing, copying, redistribution, or retransmission of this Content without express written permission is prohibited.

